IN THE FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA TRIAL DIVISION

BETWEEN:

MICHELE POIRIER

Plaintiff

- and -

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

Defendant

(filed this 11th. day of January, 1991)

The Deputy Attorney General of Canada, on behalf of the Defendant, in answer to the Plaintiff's Statement of Claim, says as follows:

- Except as expressly hereinafter admitted, he denies the allegations in the Statement of Claim, and he puts the Plaintiff to the strict proof thereof.
- He admits the allegation in paragraph 1 of the Statement of Claim except that
 he says that the Plaintiff was not an officer in the Canadian Armed Forces. She was
 a non-commissioned member.
- He admits the allegations in paragraphs 2, 3, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
 and 19 of the Statement of Claim.
- 4. With respect to paragraph 4 of the Statement of Claim, he says that the Plaintiff's homosexuality did not come to the attention of posting authorities.
- With respect to paragraphs 6 and 7, he says that the Canadian Forces
 Administrative Order and the Queen's Regulations and Orders for the Canadian
 Forces speak for themselves.

- He admits the allegations in paragraph 8 of the Statement of Claim, except that he says that the modifications to the policy were introduced over the period 1988 through 1990.
- 7. With respect to paragraphs 9 and 10 of the Statement of Claim, he says that the investigation referred to in paragraph 9 was ordered by the Base Security Officer, and he admits the allegations in the first two sentences of paragraph 10, but denies that the conduct of Colonel Coady was contrary to the principles of natural justice or the requirements of Canadian Forces Administrative Order 19-20 or any other policy of the Canadian Armed Forces.
- He denies the allegations in paragraph 20 of the Statement of Claim and puts the Plaintiff to the strict proof thereof.
- He has no knowledge of the allegations in paragraph 21 of the Statement of
 Claim and puts the Plaintiff to the strict proof thereof.
- 10. With respect to the Statement of Claim as a whole, and paragraphs 22 and 23 in particular, he denies that the actions of the Canadian Armed Forces or its decisions with respect to the Plaintiff were illegal or contrary to law, or that the Policy of the Forces with respect to the retention of homosexuals is contrary to the provisions of the Charter or that the Plaintiff's rights have been violated.
- 11. In the alternative, he says that the said policy is a reasonable limit as provided for by section 1 of the Charter.

12. He denies that the Plaintiff has suffered the damage set out in paragraph 24 of the Statement of Claim or that she is entitled to the relief sought in paragraph 24 of the Statement of Claim. He puts the Plaintiff to the strict proof of all such damages and relief.

On behalf of Her Majesty the Queen, the Deputy Attorney General of Canada therefore prays that it be adjudged that the Plaintiff is not entitled to the relief sought in the Statement of Claim and that the Defendant is entitled to costs.

DATED AT OTTAWA this 11th day of January, 1991.

John C. Tait, Q.C. Deputy Attorney General

of Canada

Per: Barbara A. McIsaac, Q.C.

Department of Justice

Room 536
Justice Building
239 Wellington Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0H8

Counsel for the Defendant.

TO:

The Administrator

Federal Court of Canada

AND TO:

Beard, Winter

Suite 900

150 King Street West Toronto, Ontario

M5H 2K4

Attention: John A. Olah

Solicitors for the Plaintiff.

IN THE FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA

TRIAL DIVISION

BETWEEN:

MICHELE POIRIER

Plaintiff

- and -

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

Defendant

STATEMENT OF DEFENCE

John C. Tait, Q.C. Deputy Attorney General of Canada

Per: Bar

Barbara A. McIsaac, Q.C.

Department of Justice Room 536

Justice Building
239 Wellington Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0H8

(613)957-4868

Counsel for the Defendant