## MEMORANDUM

## NOTE DE SERVICE

· *		
₽ ▶	S/Insp.	MADUI

K

Sgt. R.G.T. Daniel

SECRET	
OUR FILE - N/RÉFÉRENCE	1.4
IP 371-9	
YOUR FILE-V/RÉFÉRENCE	'.m
DATE	- در فيون
February 14, 1	973.

FROM

DE

Re: Security Screening - Homosexuality Sir:

Dealing with government employees on whom the information held or developed during investigation is exclusively homosexual. From experience there appears to be few known or suspected homosexuals who have significant records of subversive activity.

- Information of a homosexual nature emanates mainly from the following sources:
  - given to us by a co-operating homosexual source whose information has been deemed believed reliable,
  - obtained from homosexual government employees who were interviewed by members of the R.C.M.P. and who co-operated by naming other government employees he knew or suspected of being homosexual (a great deal of information was obtained from this source during the late fifties and early sixties),
  - (c) from the morality records of other police departments,
  - from criminal records both R.C.M.P. and Reviewed (d) other police forces,
  - information gathered during the course of a field investigation from neighbours, refer SECURTY SERVICE ences, friends, acquaintances, etc., of the Government employee being investigated.
  - (f) from foreign police and/or security organizations.
- At the conclusion of a security investigation the overall information is assessed and a brief is prepared if necessary for the government department concerned. Nebulous or purely heresay information by itself is not usually givA0053320 1-001079

, and Dec. 19/60

There has never been any exact Governmental terms of reference regarding the security approach to homosexuality. CD 35 provides the basis for our activity and interest in this field and in September 1960 a Special Committee of the Security Panel provided certain operational guidelines as follows:

- (a) that our investigations of homosexuality should not be indiscriminate, but should be limited to those persons who were vulnerable to effective exploitation by foreign intelligence services, except in cases where further investigation was necessary to establish the validity of information concerning employees in vulnerable positions;
- (b) that there did not appear to be a security objection to informing persons whose security status was being questioned on grounds of homosexuality that the enquiry was related to security;
- (c) that most careful consideration should be given in each case to the nature and timing of informing departments whose employees were involved, and to assessing the validity and significance of the information, in view of the possible effect on the employee and on the service;
- (d) that the government be asked to give the RCM Police a clear directive to the effect that, where security was not a factor, the RCM Police were not required to report allegations of homosexuality to the employing department; and
- (e) that, in cases where continued investigation was essential to establish the validity of allegations, but where it was also necessary to inform the department concerned, the Secretary of the Security Panel might ask the department to withhold action until the investigation was completed.

5. Since the early sixties our approach to the matter has been strictly non-aggressive and low profile and in how abidance with the guidelines above.

...3

6. May I suggest for full and concise coverage of the homosexual aspect to security screening that the brief dated 24 July 1967 "Homosexuality and Canadian Internal Security" be consulted.

5

(R.G.T. Daniel), S/Sgt. A-1 Section, "A" Operations