

MEMORANDUM TO MR. BRYCERe: Review and Appeal System

I attach an outline of a review and appeal system in the form of a draft memorandum to the Security Panel. Before it goes to the full Panel it is slated to be discussed by the Sub-Panel. Since so much of the system is clearly subject to argument we have not attempted to re-write the existing Cabinet Directive but have presented the system in the form of nine sections with a brief comment on each. If it were to be accepted eventually by the Panel it would then be used as a basis for re-writing the existing Cabinet Directive.

The appeal system is based primarily on the U.K. system with a number of modifications and additions which we feel would be desirable here. Mr. Wall and I thought that you might like to discuss our proposals with Roger Hollis when you see him on Wednesday afternoon as he has for some considerable time been able to observe a system of this kind at work. One aspect which bothered us particularly in the drafting is the question of what happens when the Appeal Board reaches a split decision. You may like to ask Mr. Hollis if this has happened in the U.K.


P. M. D.

October 29th, 1956.

A0053812_1-004363