

<sup>M 20 2</sup>  
Remarks of ~~Mr~~ (a) on draft Homosexual Policy

Para 1 (a) - uses "shoud". Why not direct & say  
"will" or "shall"

- delete "it would appear" & say  
"will<sup>(or shall)</sup> normally"

(b) end of first sentence & also second  
one, - are we going to retain  
a proven homo in the RCAF?  
With the build up of our Community  
Centres & the number of children  
on stations, I think we must  
get rid of such offenders.

Word "shall" is used for Co and  
"is" for AOC. Make them the  
same.

(c) uses "is"

Note here the AOC for first time  
is not asked to add his remarks.  
System should be same as in

A0051878\_1-003967

(C) Contd

Who as a HQ decides on neuro-psychiatric examination?

Is such an exam report to be routed through AOC? As written it indicates it goes directly which leaves Command out of picture.

There will have to be an interpretation in the report - ~~as this indicates~~ despite possibility of medical illness ~~man will be tossed out on~~ ~~medical~~ grounds. Who does it, medical or nonmedical people?

Para 2. Ref QR(Air) at 19.62. This will allow a convicted man to be recommended for retention in the Service with which I disagree.

A0051878\_2-003968

Para 3. While policy is applicable to females, it must be detailed somewhere that the whole thing is not to be handled entirely by male service police etc.

Para ? - there must be some direction on what will be said on release medical boards.

---

Do pls refer to Mr. Adamson & interim report to SPA

ADK ac

Mr. AIR

25 July 52