### PROTECTED B

s.19(1)

5077-3-0 (DPCAOR 5-2)

Prepared by: Capt P.M. Hurley, DPCAOR5-2 May 1991

BRIEF ON

AIM

1. The aim of this brief is to outline the procedures followed and factors considered in administering the Canadian Forces policy on homosexuality to

## DISCUSSION

as a . While attending his initial trades training at CFSEME . he was interviewed by the Special Investigation Unit (SIU) regarding his sexual orientation. admitted to having had participated in homosexual acts over a three month period with a friend while in high school. The acts consisted of hugging and fondling each others genitals

1/4

# PROTECTED B

# s.19(1) PROTECTED B

then stated that, for
the past six weeks, about three or four times per week, he
and another serviceman had participated in homosexual acts
(mutual fondling). He further stated that he may be
bisexual and should receive psychiatric counselling.
3. was then posted to
At the request of DPCAOR 5, the Commanding Officer reviewed
the SIU report and advice from medical authorities. The
Commanding Officer recommended no career action. Command
Headquarters also reviewed the case and disagreed with the
recommendation of the unit. Command Headquarters
recommended release as had admitted to having
participated in sexual acts with person of his own sex.
4. Upon receipt of the unit and Command
recommendations the file was prepared for Special Career
Review Board (SCRB) review. The Board, composed of DPCAOR,
DPLS, DMTS and DGPCOR determined that, notwithstanding a
medical opinion that was heterosexual, CFAO
19-20 did apply in case as he admitted to
participating in homosexual activities both prior to
enrolment and three or four times a week for six weeks
during initial trades training. In January 1990,
Morash was offered release under QR&O 15.01 item 5(d). He
refused the offer in February 1990, and submitted a Redress

2/4

#### PROTECTED B

of Grievance.

# PROTECTED B

of Grievance. vas retained in the Canadian
Forces with career restrictions until his engagement
expired on 28 September 1990. Redress of
Grievance was denied by Mobile Command headquarters on 12
April 1991.
5. On 26 September 1990 the Headquarters of 1
Brigade requested that terms of service be
extended until such time as his Redress of Grievance could
be resolved. The request was denied by DPCAOR. The
Commander of 1 Brigade then requested the Commander of
Mobile Command to obtain an extension of
terms of service until the Redress of Grievance, which was
at Command level, was resolved. The Commander, Mobile
Command stated that was, by his own admission, a
person to whom CFAO 19-20 applied and as such, recommended
no extension of service. DPCAOR then directed
CO to action the release, under QR&O 15.01 Item 5(c),
completed service for which he was required.
CONCLUSION
6. admitted to having participated in
homosexual activities. Although his Commanding Officer
recommended retention and indicated that medical
authorities considered to be a beteroscopial

3/4

admission of homosexual activity, the provisions

Command Headquarters and NDHQ SCRB decided that, due to

# PROTECTED B

s.19(1)

# PROTECTED B

of CFAO 19-20 did apply. was offered and refused release under QR&O 15.01 item 5(d). He was retained in the Canadian Forces and was not offered re-engagement. The unit and Brigade requested an extension of service until his Redress of Grievance was resolved. However, Command Headquarters did not agree and the request was denied. was released under QR&O 15.01 item 5(c) in November 1990.

4/4

PROTECTED B