

CANADIAN FORCES **CONFIDENTIAL** FORCES CANADIENNES
MILITARY POLICE INVESTIGATION REPORT RAPPORT D'ENQUÊTE DE LA POLICE MILITAIRE

Comd/SIU Com./UES OTTAWA			
CFBAUnit/SID BFC/Unité/D'S [REDACTED]			
Det/Sec/SIS DET/SEC/SIS [REDACTED]			
DATE OF DATE DE			
INCIDENT	REPORT RAPPORT	LAST REPORT DERNIER RAPPORT	NEXT REPORT PROCHAIN RAPPORT
JUL 88	3 JAN 89	INITIAL	3 FEB 89
VALUE VALEUR			
FOR - POUR	PUBLIC	NON-PUBLIC	PRIVATE PRIVÉ
Loss Perte			
Damage Domage			
Recovered Recouvré			

CAPTION
SOUS-TITRE

[REDACTED]

SECURITY - SEXUAL DEVIATION - HOMOSEXUALITY (SUSPECTED)

[REDACTED] (C)

References: A. My PRI SIU 4069 251530Z Nov 88
B. My Request For Assistance SIU 4070 251600Z Nov 88 (NOTAL)

1.(C) This report involves the following persons:

- a. Subject: [REDACTED] residing at [REDACTED] (DOB [REDACTED]) Security Clearance Level II;
- b. Victim: [REDACTED]
- c. Complainant: [REDACTED]
- d. Military Police:
 - (1) [REDACTED] Lt SHAW Barry Allan, Sec 81A, Acting B Secur O, CFB Borden, Ontario;
 - (2) [REDACTED] MWO BROSHA John Germain, MP 811, MWO i/c MP, CFB Borden, Ontario;

CONFIDENTIAL .../2

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR CANADIAN HUMAN RIGHTS ACT CAVEAT.
VOIR INSTRUCTIONS AU VERSO POUR LOI CANADIENNE SUR LES DROITS DE LA PERSONNE

43 (11-88) RCS V 3101 71 883 4742

004765

CONFIDENTIALCANADIAN FORCES - FORCES CANADIENNES
MILITARY POLICE INVESTIGATION REPORT - CONTINUATION SHEET
RAPPORT D'ENQUÊTE DE LA POLICE MILITAIRE - FEUILLE COMPLÉMENTAIRE

PAGE 2 OF/DE 6

CASE FILE NO. - NO DE DOSSIER
SOC 670-04-88
DATE
3 Jan 89

- (3) [REDACTED] Sgt SNYDER Carl James, MP 811,
Special Investigator, SIU Section Halifax,
CFB Halifax, N.S.;
- (4) [REDACTED] Sgt TARDIFF J.R., MP 811,
Special Investigator, SIU Section Quebec,
Quebec, P.Q.;

e. Others: (1) [REDACTED]

(2) [REDACTED]

2.(U) At 1500 hrs, 21 Nov 88, [REDACTED] contacted me at this section and requested I attend CFSIS, as he wished to provide me with security related information.

3.(C) At 1530 hrs, 21 Nov 88, I attended CFSIS, spoke with [REDACTED] and ascertained the following:

a. earlier on 21 Nov 88, he had been speaking on the telephone to [REDACTED] (personal friend) who informed him of the following:

(1) he [REDACTED] had been speaking to a person (later identified as [REDACTED] who knew a person (later identified as [REDACTED] who, while attending [REDACTED] during the Summer of 1988, had a sexual advance of some nature made towards him [REDACTED] by [REDACTED] who held the rank of [REDACTED] at the [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

(2) he [REDACTED] knew the whereabouts of the original complainant [REDACTED] and believed that the incident should be reported in some manner; and

b. [REDACTED] tentatively identified [REDACTED] as the subject in this incident, as [REDACTED] held the proper rank, was the [REDACTED]

I advised [REDACTED] to contact [REDACTED] to ascertain the particulars of the original complainant/victim and that I would inform him [REDACTED] whether SIU or Base Military Police would investigate this incident.

4.(C) At 0900 hrs, 22 Nov 88, I briefed Lt SHAW and MWO BROSHA on the information provided by [REDACTED] and they advised me that they would ascertain from Base Authorities whether SIU would be tasked to investigate the incident.

5.(C) At 0840 hrs, 24 Nov 88, MWO BROSHA advised me that the Base Commander, [REDACTED], requested that SIU investigate the incident due to the sensitivity of the matter. MWO BROSHA provided me with a Request for Investigative Assistance Letter dated 24 November 1988, which is being held on file at this section.

6.(C) At 0915 hrs, 24 Nov 88, I attended CFSIS, spoke with [REDACTED] and advised him that SIU would be investigating the incident.

CONFIDENTIAL

.../3

s.19(1)

CONFIDENTIAL
CANADIAN FORCES - FORCES CANADIENNES
MILITARY POLICE INVESTIGATION REPORT - CONTINUATION SHEET
RAPPORT D'ENQUÊTE DE LA POLICE MILITAIRE - FEUILLE COMPLÉMENTAIRE

PAGE 3 OF/DE 6

CASE FILE NO. / NO DE DOSSIER	SOC 670-04-88
DATE	3 Jan 89

He provided me with the following additional information:

- a. he had previously contacted [redacted] and ascertained his particulars, [redacted]'s and [redacted]'s as indicated in para 1 of this report; and
- b. the subject, further identified as being a Francophone, by [redacted] met [redacted] at a Mess Dinner at the Officers Mess [redacted], during the Summer of 1988, and while at the Mess Dinner, he (subject) invited [redacted] to the [redacted] and while there, made a sexual advance of some nature.

[redacted] provided me with a written statement which reiterates the information provided above and in Para 3 of this report, and which is being held on file at this section.

7.(U) At 1100 hrs, 25 Nov 88, I despatched my Preliminary Report of Investigation at Reference A, a copy of which is being held on file at this section.

8.(C) At 1130 hrs, 25 Nov 88, I despatched my Request for Assistance at Reference B, requesting that:

- a. SIU Section Halifax interview and obtain written statements from [redacted] ascertaining:
 - (1) their full particulars;
 - (2) the DTGP they were made aware of this incident and by whom;
 - (3) circumstances under which the incident was brought to their attention;
 - (4) full particulars of [redacted];
 - (5) reason the complaint was not lodged previously;
 - (6) persons they advised of the incident; and
 - (7) full circumstances of the incident as related to them;
- b. SIU Section Quebec interview and obtain a written statement from [redacted] ascertaining:
 - (1) his full particulars;
 - (2) DTGP of incident with full description of location of incident;
 - (3) full description of subject and any previous knowledge he had of the subject;
 - (4) circumstances in which they met and reason for going to RC Padre Residence;
 - (5) particulars of any possible witnesses or persons he told of incident;
 - (6) full circumstances of sexual advance IE words, gestures, alcohol consumption, state of dress;

CONFIDENTIAL

.../4

s.19(1)

CONFIDENTIAL
CANADIAN FORCES - FORCES CANADIENNES
MILITARY POLICE INVESTIGATION REPORT - CONTINUATION SHEET
RAPPORT D'ENQUÊTE DE LA POLICE MILITAIRE - FEUILLE COMPLÉMENTAIRE

PAGE 4 OF/DE 6

CASE FILE NO. - N° DE DOSSIER
SOC 670-04-88
DATE
3 Jan 89

- (7) reason for not reporting incident previously; and
- (8) would he volunteer to undergo a polygraph examination to establish his complaint.

A copy of my Request for Assistance Message is being held on file at this section.

9.(C) On 29 Nov 88, Sgt SNYDER contacted me at this section on the telephone and advised me that both [redacted] had been interviewed and had provided written statements in regards to the incident being investigated. Sgt SNYDER related that according to [redacted] the sexual advance made by the subject to [redacted] involved the subject placing his hand on [redacted]'s leg while both had been seated on a sofa in the [redacted]. Sgt SNYDER advised me that his report would be forwarded when completed.

10.(C) On 6 Dec 88, Sgt TARDIFF contacted me at this section on the telephone and advised me that [redacted] had been interviewed and had provided a written statement relating to the incident. During the interview, [redacted] provided a description of the subject to Sgt TARDIFF which matches the actual description of the subject. [redacted] indicated that the subject, while seated next to him on a sofa in the [redacted] placed his hand (subject's) up inside [redacted]'s pants leg and rubbed his leg. This evidently was the full extent of the sexual advance. Sgt TARDIFF further advised me that [redacted] had voluntarily undergone a polygraph examination to establish his complaint and that his (Sgt TARDIFF's) report would be forwarded when completed.

11.(C) On 8 Dec 88, I forwarded photographs of the subject to Sgt TARDIFF to ascertain if [redacted] could identify the subject by photograph. I also provided Sgt TARDIFF with a description of the subjects POMV, to ascertain if it had been used as transportation by the subject and [redacted] from the Officers Mess to the [redacted].

12.(C) On 21 Dec 88, I received Sgt SNYDER's report SHH 670-9-88 dated 30 Nov 88, which is being held on file at this section, and which relates the following:

- a. at 0930 hrs, 28 Nov 88, Sgt SNYDER interviewed and obtained a written statement from [redacted] in which [redacted] relates:
 - (1) during Jul 88, he was attending an English Course and [redacted] was attending a MAO Course, both at [redacted]
 - (2) on conclusion of the MAO Course, a Mess Dinner was held for all concerned and attended by a [redacted]
 - (3) on completion of the evening activities, the [redacted] insisted that [redacted] accompany him to his, [redacted] residence for a nightcap;
 - (4) while at the residence, DTGP unknown, the [redacted] offered [redacted] a drink which was accepted;

CONFIDENTIAL

.../5

s.19(1)

CONFIDENTIAL

CANADIAN FORCES - FORCES CANADIENNES

MILITARY POLICE INVESTIGATION REPORT - CONTINUATION SHEET
RAPPORT D'ENQUÊTE DE LA POLICE MILITAIRE - FEUILLE COMPLÉMENTAIRE

PAGE 5 OF/DE 6

CASE FILE NO. / NO DE DOSSIER
SOC 670-04-88
DATE
3 Jan 89

- (5) [redacted] was seated on a sofa and the [redacted] sat beside [redacted] placing his (subject's) hand on [redacted]'s leg;
- (6) [redacted] immediately left the residence after the incident;
- (7) [redacted] discussed the incident with him several days later but they did not report the incident because they felt it was of a trivial nature;
- (8) while on a PSO symposium in Nov 88, he ([redacted]) proposed a hypothetical situation, similar to what happened to [redacted] in an attempt to learn what procedure, if any, should be adopted to handle such a problem. The response was to report the incident to superiors and have the incident investigated by military authorities; and
- (9) he, [redacted] reported the incident to his superior, [redacted] on 7 Nov 88.

b. at 1015 hrs, 29 Nov 88, Sgt SNYDER interviewed and obtained a written statement from [redacted], in which [redacted] relates:

- (1) on 7/8 Nov 88, [redacted] approached him requesting his advise on a personal matter;
- (2) [redacted] related to him, that [redacted] had a homosexual encounter with [redacted] in late Jul 88 at [redacted];
- (3) he, [redacted] telephoned [redacted] seeking his advice in the matter; and
- (4) several days later, [redacted] contacted him and requested that he supply the name of the original victim, which he did; and

c. both [redacted]'s and [redacted]'s written statements were attached to Sgt SNYDER's report.

13.(C) This report is being forwarded as pending, with the results of the polygraph examination which [redacted] took to be included in a further report, along with other information gained by Sgt TARDIFF as a result of his interview with [redacted] including positive identification of the subject by photograph.

14.(C) In summary:

- a. on 24 Nov 88, this section was tasked to conduct an investigation in which it is suspected that the subject made a sexual advance to [redacted] while he [redacted] was attending [redacted], in Jul 88;
- b. the subject evidently placed his hand inside [redacted]'s pants leg and rubbed his leg; and

CONFIDENTIAL

.../6

s.19(1)


CONFIDENTIAL
CANADIAN FORCES - FORCES CANADIENNES
MILITARY POLICE INVESTIGATION REPORT - CONTINUATION SHEET
RAPPORT D'ENQUÊTE DE LA POLICE MILITAIRE - FEUILLE COMPLÉMENTAIRE

PAGE 6 OF/DE 6

CASE FILE NO. / NO DE DOSSIER
SOC 670-04-88
DATE
3 Jan 89

- c. the subject has been tentatively identified, as he fits the physical description provided by [redacted]. The subject is also a Francophone, [redacted] and resides in [redacted] all of which [redacted] indicated the subject did or was, in this incident.

PENDING.


[redacted]
Stojak G.W.
Warrant Officer
Special Investigator

CONFIDENTIAL