



Government of Canada / Gouvernement du Canada

OCT 3 1974

MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

TO / À

Tony Young

FROM / DE

R.D. Boyd

PERSONNEL POLICY BRANCH RECORDS OFFICE DIRECTION DE LA POLITIQUE DU PERSONNEL BUREAU DES DOCUMENTS	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION / BUREAU DES DOCUMENTS	Referred to / Référé à: <i>PPB 3175-06-2-1</i>
OUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE	<i>3175-06</i>
YOUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE	Charged to / Confié à: _____
DATE	2 October 1974

PPB 3175-06

SUBJECT / OBJET

Dismissal for Security Reasons

Our work on a possible Chapter on "Separation" in the Personnel Policy Manual has disclosed an interesting point. The revised Financial Administration Act and the Public Service Employment Act provide for ten distinct reasons for separation, but none of them is labelled "Dismissal".

Cabinet Directive 35 of October 24, 1963, Page 2, provides for dismissal. Dismissal is mentioned again on Page 8, Line 6, and more important, Page 9, Paragraph 18, which implies that the Governor-in-Council has authority to dismiss an employee.

The question is whether this possible type of separation, namely 'dismissal for security reasons' should be mentioned in the Personnel Policy Manual, or whether no reference should be made to it on the assumption that the subject of security will certainly not be fully dealt with in our Manual.

R.D. Boyd
R.D. Boyd,
ACPA Secretariat.

Jacques F. Yadin
7/3/74

3

*File.
answer provided
verbally to R. Boyd.*

*8
9/10
54.*

004199



Government of Canada / Gouvernement du Canada

OCT 3 1974

MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

TO / À

Tony Young

FROM / DE

R.D. Boyd

PERSONNEL POLICY BRANCH RECORDS OFFICE DIRECTION DE LA POLITIQUE DU PERSONNEL BUREAU DES DOCUMENTS	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION / CLASSIFICATION DE SÉCURITÉ	Referred to / Référé à: <i>PPB 3175-06-2-1</i>
OUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE	<i>3175-06</i>
YOUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE	Charged to / Confié à: _____
DATE	2 October 1974

PPB 3175-06-2-1

SUBJECT / OBJET

Dismissal for Security Reasons

Our work on a possible Chapter on "Separation" in the Personnel Policy Manual has disclosed an interesting point. The revised Financial Administration Act and the Public Service Employment Act provide for ten distinct reasons for separation, but none of them is labelled "Dismissal".

Cabinet Directive 35 of October 24, 1963, Page 2, provides for dismissal. Dismissal is mentioned again on Page 8, Line 6, and more important, Page 9, Paragraph 18, which implies that the Governor-in-Council has authority to dismiss an employee.

The question is whether this possible type of separation, namely 'dismissal for security reasons' should be mentioned in the Personnel Policy Manual, or whether no reference should be made to it on the assumption that the subject of security will certainly not be fully dealt with in our Manual.

R.D. Boyd
R.D. Boyd,
ACPA Secretariat.

3

*File.
answer provided
verbally to R. Boyd.*

*8
9/10
54.*

*Jacques F.
FY admin.
7/10.*

004200