CONFIDENTIAL

5-1-6(d)

PRIME MINISTER

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRIME MINISTER:

Red Possibility of an appeal procedure in security cases

You told me yesterday that you would like to have the report of the Security Panel on the question of an appeal procedure for study over the weekend. A copy is attached, together with the draft Order for an appeal procedure that was examined in 1959. Paragraph 7 sets forth very briefly the main considerations that the Panel considers to weigh against an appeal procedure.

You suggested yesterday that you would like to have a special meeting with Mr.N.A. Robertson, Mr. Bryce and myself to discuss this and, thereafter, a meeting of the Cabinet Committee on Security and Intelligence. I think this would be most desirable and I do feel that it would be a mistake to have the matter go to the Cabinet before you have had an adequate opportunity to secure at first hand the views of the people (including the R.C.M.P.) who have been involved in security.

Also attached herewith are two other documents that have not yet been fully examined by the Security Panel but which you may wish to look at. One is a new "Cabinet Directive" on security that would somewhat alter procedures without providing for a formal appeal. It was drafted in such a way that it might be made public, although the Panel feels that there are important considerations against doing that.

- 2 -

The other document is a draft statement on security policy that could be made if it were decided not to have an appeal and to have a limited revision of procedures as in the draft directive.

It assumes publication of the directive.

A better procedure might be to paraphrase parts of the directive and incorporate them into the statement but not publish the directive as such.

After you have had an opportunity to examine this material, perhaps you could let me know when you would like to have the meeting with Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bryce and myself.

Most.