

CONFIDENTIAL

S-1-1

*pc file*

3/

July 8th, 1963.

MEMORANDUM TO: D.F. Wall

SUBJECT: Points re Revision C.D. 29

1. Reduce use of "loyalty" after first mention. Degree of confidence in employee is the issue and loyalty is said to have pejorative connotation.
2. Reasonable grounds, stet in 3 c
3. Heading: delete "of employees"
4. Para. 5: after consideration, add "by the employing department"
5. Para. 5: Change any to "the" before risk
6. Para. 6 a): add examples, such as alcoholics, homosexuals
7. Para. 8: after protect, "so far as possible"
8. Para 11 b) (and 8?): to work out wording to show that RCMP will provide favourable information in detail in briefs with adverse traces, when field inquiries are carried out. Conflict was said to be (McClellan) between 6 a) and 8, but discussion centered around 11 b)
9. Para. 12: discussion (Armstrong) whether character references were "such other sources of information as may have been utilized". They were. Then, what RCMP action would be in connection with references.
10. Para. 14: Recast to distinguish different action in case of applicant, as opposed to employee to indicate:
  - a) more extended activity in case of person already in service,
  - b) point at which concern <sup>for applicant</sup> stops short of that for employee,
  - c) clarify what is meant by "further investigation" in 14 a),
11. Para. 15 a): RCMP concerned whether "knowledge and consent" of employee was mandatory. It is not, nor was confrontation. Agreed to make clear this was permissive. RCMP concerned with prospect of contempt citations under Inquiries Act if they could not bring

005449

AGC-1226\_0001

2.

information into public view. Further agreed to leave this point for further discussion.

12. Supplement, para. 6: clarify to pin consultation of referees on department, leaving RCMP action at their discretion.
13. Supplement, para. 10: include statement making field clearance of departmental S.O.'s mandatory before appointment.

DB

D. Beavis

005450

AGC-1226\_0002