



Deputy Minister  
National Defence

Sous-ministre  
Défense nationale

D4 Meeting 6 April 92

Kent

- AS YOU SEE I'M FLYING A LITTLE BLIND MYSELF
- I WANT TO CO-OPT THESE KOLIKS INTO ACCEPTING OUR REQUIREMENT AGAINST ACCOMMODATION IN FULL RECOGNITION THAT THEY WILL HAVE TO DEFEND US AND I WANT THEM TO AGREE - IN ADVANCE - ON WORDS WHICH WILL PRODUCE AN EFFECTIVE DEFENCE. (THUS, I WOULD NOT BE ADVERSE TO A SPECIAL DND EXEMPTION).
- THUS I LIKE OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS BETTER THAN REQUIREMENTS AS IT IS MORE GENERAL AND WE WOULD NOT HAVE TO SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS.

Robert R. Fowler





Deputy Minister  
National Defence

Sous-ministre  
Défense nationale

IA ART IF YOU WANT TO  
SUGGEST OTHER  
WORDS — DO SO.

Do you mean:  
INSTEAD OF OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS  
OR REQUIREMENT

You'd prefer  
" ... EXCEPT WHERE THE ABILITY OF A  
GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION TO  
EFFECTIVELY CARRY OUT ITS MANDATE " Robert R. Fowler



Deputy Minister  
National Defence

Sous-ministre  
Défense nationale

KENT/ART

I'm SORRY — AND YES I'M VERY NEW TO THIS  
FILE — I DON'T BELIEVE THAT EVEN  
"OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS (ALTHOUGH  
BETTER THAN REQUIREMENTS)" GIVES  
US KNOWLEDGE.

INDEED, I BELIEVE WE OUGHT TO GO  
FOR SOMETHING LIKE A CF EXEMPTION.

I was told it would NOT  
ply based on past discussion

Robert R. Fowler

I but agree.

001435

AGC-0775\_0004